	Case 1:25-cv-00179-JLT-BAM	Document 13	Filed 09/22/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4 5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	RONALD L. SEAY,	No	o. 1:25-cv-00179-J	LT-BAM	
12	Plaintiff,		RDER ADOPTING	FINDINGS AND DNS, DISMISSING	
13	v.	A		ECTING THE CLERK OF	
14 15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT CORRECTIONS AND		Ooc. 12)		
16	REHABILITATION, et al., Defendants.				
17	Defendants.				
18	Ronald L. Seay is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The magistrate judge issued an order requiring Plaintiff to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit. (Doc. 10.) Plaintiff did not file a response. More than a month later, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendation that recommended this action be dismissed, without prejudice,				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
	based on Plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit in compliance				
24	with the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (Doc. 12.) The Court served the Findings and				
25	Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that any objections were due within 14 days.				
26	at 3.) The Court also informed Pla	at 3.) The Court also informed Plaintiff that "the failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings' on			
27	time may result in the waiver of th				
28		1			

	Case 1:25-cv-00179-JLT-BAM Document 13 Filed 09/22/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	appeal." (Id. at 13, quoting Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff				
2	did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.				
3	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the				
4	case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings and				
5	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS				
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on August 26, 2025 (Doc. 12) are				
7	ADOPTED in full.				
8	2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice.				
9	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.				
10					
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
12	Dated: September 20, 2025 United: States district judge				
13	V -				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					